CY 2023 Real World Testing Plan for Patient First

Executive Summary

This is the real world test plan for CY 2023 for our Patient First certified EHR solution PAS. It is virtually the same as last year's approved real world test plan with only minor alterations and updates.

As with last year's plan, it provides the real world test measurements and metrics that meet the intent and objectives of ONC's Condition of Certification and Maintenance of Certification requirement for real world testing (§ 170.405 Real world testing). We believe these test methods will be appropriate and value in accessing certification criteria and interoperability of exchanging electronic health information (EHI) within the care and practice setting of customers.

We have included our timeline and milestones for completing the real world testing in CY 2023, and information about compliance with the USCDI v1 and SVAP updates.

Developer Attestation

This Real World Testing plan is complete with all required elements, including measures that address all certification criteria and care settings. All information in this plan is up to date and fully addresses the health IT developer's Real World Testing requirements.

Authorized Representative Name:

Authorized Representative Email:

Authorized Representative Phone:

Authorized Representative Signature:

[SIGNATURE]

DATE

General Information

Plan Report ID Number: PAS_RWT_2023

Developer Name: Patient First

Product Name(s): PAS

Version Numbers(s): 2015.0.0.1

Certified Health IT Criteria: 315(b)(1)-(3), (b)(6), (c)(1)-(3), (e)(1), (f)(1), (f)(2), (g)(7)-(9)

Product List (CHPL) ID(s) and Link(s):

- 15.04.04.2140.PAS1.15.01.0.200331
- https://chpl.healthit.gov/#/listing/10349

Developer Real World Testing Page URL: https://www.patientfirst.com/mandatory-disclosure-for-her

Timeline and Milestones for Real World Testing CY 2023

- 1Q-2023: Begin communication with clients to ask for their support and participation in real world testing. The goal is to have a sufficient number of clients committed for real world testing by the end of 1Q-2023.
- 2Q-3Q 2023. During the 2nd and 3rd quarter of CY 2023, the real world testing with clients will be scheduled and performed. It is expected that a preparatory call will be done with clients to prepare them for testing activities. Results will be documented in the test results section of the test methods and ultimately used to build the test report. If any non-compliances are observed, we will notify the ONC-ACB of the findings and make the necessary changes required.
- 4Q-2023. During the last quarter of the year, the CY 2024 real world test plan will be completed according to ONC and ONC-ACB requirements and expectations. Test plan will be prepared for submission before the end of the year.
- 1Q-2024. Submit RWT Test Report to ONC-ACB.

Standards Version Advancement Process (SVAP) Updates

Standard (and version)	All standards versions are those specified in USCDI v1. The developer plans to use SVAP to update its (c)(3) to the current CMS implementation guide version for eCQM reporting.
Date of ONC-ACB notification (SVAP or USCDI)	February, 2024
Date of customer notification (SVAP only)	February, 2024
USCDI-updated certification criteria (and USCDI version)	The plan documents the support of all USCDI v1 data elements.

Real World Testing Measurements

The measurements for our real world testing plan are described below. Each measurement contains:

- Associated ONC criteria
- Testing Methodology used
- Description of the measurement/metric
- Justification for the measurement/metric
- Expected outcomes in testing for the measurement/metric
- Number of client sites to use in testing (if applicable)
- Care settings which are targeted with the measurement/metric

In each measurement evaluate, we elaborate specifically on our justification for choosing this measure and the expected outcomes. All measurements were chosen to best evaluate compliance with the certification criteria and interoperability of exchanging electronic health information (EHI) within the certified EHR.

Testing Methodologies

For each measurement, a testing methodology is used. For our test plan, we use the following methodologies.

> Reporting/Logging: This methodology uses the logging or reporting capabilities of the EHR to examine functionality performed in the system. A typical example of this is the measure reporting done for the automate measure calculation required in 315(g)(2), but it can also be aspects of the audit log or customized reports from the EHR. This methodology often provides historical measurement reports which can be accessed at different times of the year and evaluate interoperability of EHR functionality, and it can serve as a benchmark for evaluating real world testing over multiple time intervals.
>
> Compliance and/or Tool: This methodology uses inspection to evaluate if EHR is compliant to the ONC criteria requirements. It can be done through 1-v-1 inspection testing or utilize various tools to measure or evaluate compliance and interoperability. If an EHR Module capabilities is not widely used in production by current users, compliance inspection can provide assurance criteria is working as previously certified.

Number of Clients Sites

Within each measure, we note the minimum number of clients or client sites we plan to use for this measure evaluation. The numbers vary depending on the methodology as well as overall use of the associated EHR Module criteria by our users. For criteria that are not widely used by our customer base, we may test the respective measure in our own production-sandbox environment given lack of customer experience with the criteria functionality.

Care and Practice Settings Targeted

Our PASS EHR is self-developed solely for the use of our own providers in our Patient First urgent care centers. We provided both primary and urgent care.

RWT Measure #1. Number of Transition of Care C-CDAs Successfully Sent

Associated Criteria: 315(b)(1), 315(h)(1)

Testing Methodology: Reporting/Logging

Measurement Description

This measure is tracking and counting how many C-CDAs are created and successfully sent from the EHR Module to a 3rd party via Direct messaging during a transition of care event over the course of a given interval.

The interval for this measure will be three (3) months.

Measurement Justification

This measure will provide a numeric value to indicate both the how often this interoperability feature is being used as well as its compliance to the requirement. An increment to this measure indicates that the EHR can create a C-CDA patient summary record, including ability to record all clinical data elements, and by sending the C-CDA patient summary record, the EHR demonstrates successful interoperability of an exchanged patient record with a 3rd party. This measurement shows support for Direct Edge protocol in connecting to a HISP for successful transmission.

Measurement Expected Outcome

The measurement will produce numeric results over a given interval. We will utilize various reports and audit logs, including Automated Measure (315.g.2) reports, to determine our measure count.

A successful measure increment indicates compliance to the underlying ONC criteria. It will show that the EHR can create the C-CDA patient summary record, including record required clinical data elements. In sending the C-CDA patient summary record, the EHR will demonstrate ability to confirm successful interoperability of an exchanged patient record with a 3rd party, including support for Direct Edge protocol in connecting to a HISP. Successfully completing this measure also implies users have a general understanding of the EHR functional operations for this EHR Module and an overall support for the user experience while not completing this measure may indicate lack of understanding or possibly lack of use or need for this functionality.

We will use the measure count to establish a historic baseline of expected interoperability use so it can be used in subsequent real world testing efforts.

Care Settings and Number of Clients Site to Test

We designed this measure to test our Patient First clinics treating both primary and urgent care. We will test a minimum of four (4) client practice(s). This number covers a sufficient percentage of existing practices to provide a viable sample of users of the certified EHRs.

RWT Measure #2. Number of C-CDAs Received and/or Incorporated

Associated Criteria: 315(b)(2)

Testing Methodology: Reporting/Logging

Measurement Description

This measure is tracking and counting how many C-CDAs are successfully received and/or incorporated upon receipt from a 3rd party via Direct messaging during a transition of care event over the course of a given interval.

The interval for this measure will be three (3) months.

Measurement Justification

This measure will provide a numeric value to indicate both the how often this interoperability feature is being used as well as its compliance to the requirement. An increment to this measure indicates that the EHR can receive a C-CDA patient summary record, and by incorporating the C-CDA patient summary record, the EHR demonstrates successful interoperability of problems, medications, and medication allergies of patient record with a 3rd party. This measurement shows support for Direct Edge protocol in connecting to a HISP for successful transmission.

Measurement Expected Outcome

The measurement will produce numeric results over a given interval. We will utilize various reports and audit logs, including Automated Measure (315.g.2) reports, to determine our measure count.

A successful measure increment indicates compliance to the underlying ONC criteria. It will show that the EHR can create the EHR can receive a C-CDA patient summary record. In incorporating the C-CDA patient summary record, the EHR will demonstrate successful interoperability of problems, medications, and medication allergies of patient record with a 3rd party, including support for Direct Edge protocol in connecting to a HISP. Successfully completing this measure also implies users have a general understanding of the EHR functional operations for this EHR Module and an overall support for the user experience while not completing this measure may indicate lack of understanding or possibly lack of use or need for this functionality.

We will use the measure count to establish a historic baseline of expected interoperability use so it can be used in subsequent real world testing efforts.

Care Settings and Number of Clients Site to Test

We designed this measure to test our Patient First clinics treating both primary and urgent care. We will test a minimum of four (4) client practice(s). This number covers a sufficient percentage of existing practices to provide a viable sample of users of the certified EHRs.

RWT Measure #3. Number of Electronic Prescriptions Messages Successfully Sent – Controlled and Non-controlled Substances

Associated Criteria: 315(b)(3)

Testing Methodology: Reporting/Logging

Measurement Description

This measure is tracking and counting how many electronic prescriptions were created and successfully sent from the EHR Module to a pharmacy destination over the course of a given interval.

The interval for this measure will be three (3) months.

Measurement Justification

This measure will provide a numeric value to indicate both the how often this interoperability feature is being used as well as its compliance to the requirement. An increment to this measure indicates that the EHR can create an electronic prescription message and either transmit it to a pharmacy, typically via the Surescripts Network, or by our internal pharmacy center which providers often utilize.

Measurement Expected Outcome

The measurement will produce numeric results over a given interval. We will utilize various reports and audit logs, including Automated Measure (315.g.2) reports, to determine our measure count.

A successful measure increment indicates compliance to the underlying ONC criteria. It will show that the EHR can create the electronic prescription message and send over a production network, like the Surescripts Network, to a pharmacy. Successfully completing this measure also implies users have a general understanding of the EHR functional operations for this EHR Module and an overall support for the user experience while not completing this measure may indicate lack of understanding or possibly lack of use or need for this functionality.

We will use the measure count to establish a historic baseline of expected interoperability use so it can be used in subsequent real world testing efforts.

Care Settings and Number of Clients Site to Test

We designed this measure to test our Patient First clinics treating both primary and urgent care. We will test a minimum of two (2) client practice(s). This number covers a sufficient percentage of existing practices to provide a viable sample of users of the certified EHRs.

RWT Measure #4. Number of Patient Batch Exports Run

Associated Criteria: 315(b)(6)

Testing Methodology: Reporting/Logging

Measurement Description

This measure is tracking and counting how many batch exports of C-CDAs were successfully performed by the EHR Module over the course of a given interval.

The interval for this measure will be three (3) months.

Measurement Justification

This measure will provide a numeric value to indicate both the how often this interoperability feature is being used as well as its compliance to the requirement. An increment to this measure indicates that the EHR can create a batch export of multiple C-CDA patient summary records.

Measurement Expected Outcome

The measurement will produce numeric results over a given interval. We will utilize various reports and audit logs to determine our measure count.

A successful measure increment indicates compliance to the underlying ONC criteria. It will show that the EHR can create a batch export of multiple C-CDA patient summary records, which can be used in means of health IT interoperability. Successfully completing this measure also implies users have a general understanding of the EHR functional operations for this EHR Module and an overall support for the user experience while not completing this measure may indicate lack of understanding or possibly lack of use or need for this functionality.

We will use the measure count to establish a historic baseline of expected interoperability use so it can be used in subsequent real world testing efforts.

Care Settings and Number of Clients Site to Test

We designed this measure to test our Patient First clinics treating both primary and urgent care. We will test a minimum of four (4) client practice(s). This number covers a sufficient percentage of existing practices to provide a viable sample of users of the certified EHRs.

RWT Measure #5. Number of Quality Measures Successfully Reported on to CMS

Associated Criteria: 315(c)(1)-(c)(3)

Testing Methodology: Reporting/Logging

Measurement Description

This measure is tracking and counting how many eCQM quality measures were successfully reported on by the EHR Module to CMS over the course of a given interval.

The interval for this measure will be twelve (12) months to align with the CMS performance period.

Measurement Justification

This measure will provide a count and list of electronic clinical quality measures (eCQMs) which are calculated and submitted to CMS for a given program, like MIPS. Clinical quality measures are only used for the respective CMS programs and any production measures should utilize submission to CMS. Because CQM criteria, 315(c)(1)-(c)(3), all work collectively together in the eCQM functionality of the EHR Module, this measurement is used for all three.

Measurement Expected Outcome

The measurement will a count and list of eCQMs submitted to CMS over a given interval. We will utilize various reports and audit logs to determine our measure count.

A successful measure submission indicates compliance to the underlying ONC criteria. It will show that the EHR can do calculations on the eCQM and that they are accepted by CMS. Successfully completing this measure also implies users have a general understanding of the EHR functional operations for this EHR Module and an overall support for the user experience while not completing this measure may indicate lack of understanding or possibly lack of use or need for this functionality.

We will use the measure result to establish a historic baseline of expected interoperability use so it can be used in subsequent real world testing efforts.

Care Settings and Number of Clients Site to Test

We designed this measure to test our Patient First clinics treating both primary and urgent care. We will test a minimum of four (4) client practice(s). This number covers a sufficient percentage of existing practices to provide a viable sample of users of the certified EHRs.

RWT Measure #6. Number of Patients Who Accessed/Logged in to Portal

Associated Criteria: 315(e)(1)

Testing Methodology: Reporting/Logging

Measurement Description

This measure is tracking and counting how many patients are successfully logged into and accessed their patient portal account over the course of a given interval.

The interval for this measure will be three (3) months.

Measurement Justification

This measure will provide a numeric value to indicate both the how often this interoperability feature is being used as well as its compliance to the requirement. An increment to this measure indicates that patients can log into their patient portal to view, download, or transmit their health data.

Measurement Expected Outcome

The measurement will produce numeric results over a given interval. We will utilize various reports and audit logs, including Automated Measure (315.g.2) reports, to determine our measure count.

A successful measure increment indicates compliance to the underlying ONC criteria. It will show that patients can log into their patient portal to view, download, or transmit their health data. Successfully completing this measure also implies users have a general understanding of the EHR functional operations for this EHR Module and an overall support for the user experience while not completing this measure may indicate lack of understanding or possibly lack of use or need for this functionality.

We will use the measure count to establish a historic baseline of expected interoperability use so it can be used in subsequent real world testing efforts.

Care Settings and Number of Clients Site to Test

We designed this measure to test our Patient First clinics treating both primary and urgent care. We will test a minimum of four (4) client practice(s). This number covers a sufficient percentage of existing practices to provide a viable sample of users of the certified EHRs.

RWT Measure #7. Number of Immunization Messages Successfully Sent to IIS/Immunization Registries

Associated Criteria: 315(f)(1)

Testing Methodology: Reporting/Logging

Measurement Description

This measure is tracking and counting how many immunization messages are created and successfully sent from the EHR Module to an IIS/immunization registry over the course of a given interval.

The interval for this measure will be three (3) months.

Measurement Justification

This measure will provide a numeric value to indicate both the how often this interoperability feature is being used as well as its compliance to the requirement. An increment to this measure indicates that the EHR can create an immunization message, including ability to record all clinical data elements, and by sending the message, the EHR demonstrates successful interoperability with an IIS/immunization registry.

Measurement Expected Outcome

The measurement will produce numeric results over a given interval. We will utilize various reports and audit logs, to determine our measure count.

A successful measure increment indicates compliance to the underlying ONC criteria. It will show that the EHR can create the HL7 immunization record, including ability to record the required clinical data elements. In sending the immunization message, the EHR will demonstrate ability to confirm successful interoperability of patient's immunization data to an IIS/immunization registry. Successfully completing this measure also implies users have a general understanding of the EHR functional operations for this EHR Module and an overall support for the user experience while not completing this measure may indicate lack of understanding or possibly lack of use or need for this functionality.

We will use the measure count to establish a historic baseline of expected interoperability use so it can be used in subsequent real world testing efforts.

Care Settings and Number of Clients Site to Test

We designed this measure to test our Patient First clinics treating both primary and urgent care. We will test a minimum of four (4) client practice(s). This number covers a sufficient percentage of existing practices to provide a viable sample of users of the certified EHRs.

RWT Measure #8. Number of Syndromic Surveillance Messages Successfully Sent

Associated Criteria: 315(f)(2)

Testing Methodology: Reporting/Logging

Measurement Description

This measure is tracking and counting how many syndromic surveillance messages are created and successfully sent from the EHR Module to a syndromic registry over the course of a given interval.

The interval for this measure will be three (3) months.

Measurement Justification

This measure will provide a numeric value to indicate both the how often this interoperability feature is being used as well as its compliance to the requirement. An increment to this measure indicates that the EHR can create an syndromic surveillance message, including ability to record all clinical data elements, and by sending the message, the EHR demonstrates successful interoperability with a public health registry.

Measurement Expected Outcome

The measurement will produce numeric results over a given interval. We will utilize various reports and audit logs, to determine our measure count.

A successful measure increment indicates compliance to the underlying ONC criteria. It will show that the EHR can create the HL7 syndromic surveillance message, including ability to record the required clinical data elements. In sending the syndromic surveillance message, the EHR will demonstrate ability to confirm successful interoperability of patient's immunization data to public health registry. Successfully completing this measure also implies users have a general understanding of the EHR functional operations for this EHR Module and an overall support for the user experience while not completing this measure may indicate lack of understanding or possibly lack of use or need for this functionality.

We will use the measure count to establish a historic baseline of expected interoperability use so it can be used in subsequent real world testing efforts.

Care Settings and Number of Clients Site to Test

We designed this measure to test our Patient First clinics treating both primary and urgent care. We will test a minimum of four (4) client practice(s). This number covers a sufficient percentage of existing practices to provide a viable sample of users of the certified EHRs.

RWT Measure #9. Number of applications/3rd party systems accessing our FHIR API server

Associated Criteria: 315(g)(7), (g)(9), (g)(10)

Testing Methodology: Reporting/Logging

Measurement Description

This is a measure to determine how many different systems or applications are connecting to our EHR via the API. We will look over the course of a minimum of six (6) months to gauge registered applications and active use.

Measurement Justification

This measure will determine how many 3rd party systems or applications are integrated and using the EHR's FHIR API interface. This measure will allow us to verify our certified API is working with 3rd party applications to access USCDI patient data.

Measurement Expected Outcome

The measurement will provide a count of FHIR application applications which have registered with our server for patient access as well as applications actively connecting to our FHIR server. We will utilize our FHIR API form which developers use to request API access as well as additional reports and audit logs to determine the number of API applications enabled for our system.

The answer will provide insight into how both patients and clinicians view both the use and value of this interoperability feature.

Care Settings and Number of Clients Site to Test

We designed this measure to test our Patient First clinics treating both primary and urgent care. We will look across all of our practice sites to see how many FHIR applications are registered and in use.